BELIEFS ABOUT THE BIBLE

I. WHAT IT SAYS ABOUT ITSELF:

- 1. It claims to be divinely inspired.
- 2 Timothy 3:16 "All scripture is inspired by God" (NASB)

 "All scripture is God-breathed" (NIV)

 The Greek word is *Theopneustos* from two words: *Theos* "God" and *pneo* "to
 - breathe". It literally means "breathed out by God".
- 2 Peter 1:20-21 The word rendered "interpretation" in verse 20 can better be translated "origin". The scriptures aren't man's thoughts and ideas but God's.

 -How does verse 21 say this happened?
 - -Greek word translated "carried along" was often used to describe a ship borne along by the wind in its sails.
 - -Notice two parts: 1) God spoke
 - 2) through men

It was God speaking:

- Where does Acts 1:16 say the scriptures that David wrote came from?
- In Romans 3:2 what does Paul call the Old Testament scriptures?
- What does 1 Thessalonians 2:13 say the words of the apostles actually were?
- Over and over in the Bible the scriptures are referred to as "God's Word", "the Word of God", "the Lord's Word", etc.
- Time and time again the prophets would begin their messages with the words, "Thus says the Lord..."
 - Jeremiah 1:4-5 describes God's call. How does the prophet describe this call in verse 4? What does the Lord say he has done in verse 9?
- The scriptures claim to be the words of God more than 4,000 times.

God spoke *through men*:

• 2 Peter 1:21

In his book, *Know What You Believe*, Paul Little wrote this about how God spoke through and inspired the writers of the Scriptures:

Inspiration, in the biblical sense, means that God so superintended the writers of scripture that they wrote what He waited them to write arid were kept from error in so doing...

This does not mean that the human writers of Scripture were practically machines through whom

God dictated. Nor does it mean that they were human typewriters whom God punched. On the contrary, their full personalities entered into their writing. Their individual writing styles are evident, for instance. Their backgrounds also are often apparent in what they wrote. But though their human capacities came into play, they were superintended and borne along in a unique way by the Holy Spirit. Because of this, the Bible is called "The Word of God" (Mark 7:13; Heb. 4:12; etc.).

It is clear that some material in the Bible came directly from God; it could not otherwise have been known by the human mind. Genesis 1 and 2 are an example of this sort of material, which must have been made known to Moses supernaturally. In other cases, men recorded events which they themselves witnessed; e.g., John wrote about his approach, with Peter, to the empty tomb on the first Easter morning (John 20:3-10). Some writers used records that were already in existence, as Luke did in writing his Gospel (Luke 1:1-4). In other instances, God put into men's mouths the very words they should speak, or told them what to write (Jer. 30:1, 2).

When we say that the Bible was divinely inspired, we mean the original manuscripts which were written in Greek, Hebrew, and Aramaic. It does not mean that latter translations such as the KJV, NASB, or NIV are inspired and free from error. Some translations are more accurate than others.

- According to 2 Timothy 3:16 how much of the Bible was inspired by God?
 - o All 66 books of the Old & New Testaments
 - The Bible doesn't contain God's Word. It is God's Word from Genesis to Revelation.
- What does Jesus say about the Law in Matthew 5:18? What does this tell us about the Divine inspiration of the scriptures? How detailed was it?

2. Time Bible claims to be true, accurate, and free from error:

- What word does Psalm 12:6 use to describe the scriptures?
- What does Psalm 18:30 say about God's Word?
- Read Psalm 19:7-11 and list each word that describes God's Word.
- How does Psalm 119:160 describe God's Word?
- What does Proverbs 30:5 tell us about the Bible?

3. The Bible claims to be relevant for all time:

- How long does Psalm 119:89 say it will be good for?
- According to Jesus, what is the expiration date on God's Word (Matthew 24:35)?

4. The Bible says that God's Word is useful:

- What word does 2 Timothy 3:16 use to describe the scriptures?
 - o the Greek word translated "useful" is *öphelimos* which means profitable, beneficial, or productive.
- According to 2 Timothy 3:16-17, what can the scriptures be used for?
- What four things does 2 Timothy 4:2 say it can be used for?
- Read Ps. 19:7-11. List all the things these verses say that the scriptures can do?
- What does Psalm 119:24 say that the scriptures will act as for us?
- What does Psalm 119:105 say that the Bible will do for us?
- What does Psalm 119:130 say it will do for us?

How did Jesus use the scriptures in the following situations?

- In explaining the difference between true and false worship? (Mark 7:6-9)
- In answering a tough question about the resurrection? (Mark 12:24-27)
- In avoiding a question from an insincere questioner? (Luke 10:25-28)
- Based on these scriptures how would you say that Jesus regarded the scriptures?

II. EXTERNAL EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTS THE BIBLE'S CLAIMS ABOUT ITSELF:

• Literary Evidence:

Textual Criticism is the process by which scholars gather together all the existing copies of an ancient document and compare them. The degree to which the copies match determines how sure we can be of the content of the original. Throughout history, before the invention of the printing press, hand-copied books of the New Testament were carried

throughout the known world. Today scholars have access to thousands of these manuscripts, which were found in various parts of the world including Africa, Asia, and Europe. These documents where copied in various time periods over a span of 800 years. The oldest ones date back the beginning of the second century. A textual critic will gather all the known copies of a manuscript and compare them to see if they agree or disagree.

If the copies vary widely, the textual critic will be uncertain of what the original said. If the copies are in agreement, the textual critic knows with a high degree of coincidence, what the original said.

The number of texts available is a key factor. There is a direct correlation between the number of texts available to the textual critic and the degree of certainty with which lie can draw his conclusions. In 1925 A. T. Robertson, who was widely recognized as one of the greatest Greek scholars in the world, said that there where 8,000 manuscripts of the Latin Vulgate, at least 1,000 manuscripts of other earlier versions (such as Syriac and Coptic), 4,000 manuscripts of the Greek New Testament, and 13,000 manuscripts of portions of the Greek New Testament. That was 74 years ago and there are even more now. By comparison there are only 643 manuscripts of the *Iliad* by Homer. This is the next largest number of manuscripts for any ancient text after the New Testament! One authority wrote, "The Bible, compared with other ancient writings, has more manuscript evidence than any ten pieces of classical literature combined."

Another key factor the textual critic looks at in determining the certainty of the text is how large the time gap is between when the original manuscript was written and the dates of the earliest manuscripts we now have in our possession. When it comes to the New Testament, the gap is far smaller than with any other ancient text. With Homer's *Iliad* the gap is 500 years. By comparison, the New Testament's gap is only 25 years!

Textual critics, whether they are Christian, Jew, Muslim, or agnostic agree that the text of the Bible is certain!

Sir Frederick G. Kenyon, who was the director and principal librarian at the British Museum said, "Both the authenticity and the general integrity of the books of the New Testament may be regarded as firmly established."

• Archaeological Evidence:

Millar Burrows of Yale wrote, "Archaeology has in many cases refuted the views of modern critics [of the Bible]. It has shown in a number of instances that these views rest on false assumptions and unreal, artificial schemes of historical development... The excessive skepticism of many liberal theologians stems not from a careful evaluation of the available data, but from an enormous predisposition against the supernatural."

The great archaeologist, William F. Albright, said, "The excessive skepticism shown toward the Bible by the important historical schools of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, certain phases of which still appear periodically, has been progressively discredited. Discovery after

discovery has established the accuracy of innumerable details and has brought increased recognition to the value of the Bible as a source of history.... As critical study of the Bible is more and more influenced by the rich new material from the ancient Near East we shall see a steady rise in respect for the historical significance of now neglected or despised passages and details in the Old and New Testament."

Over and over critics have claimed that different cities and individuals mentioned in the Bible never really existed, and time after time archeology has proven these charges to be false. Some examples:

Critics said that King David was fictional because the only references to him were found in the Bible. In 1993, Professor Avraham Biran discovered a 3,000 year old monumental inscription written on black basalt by one of Israel's foreign enemies at 'Tel Dan in northern Israel. It includes a reference to the "House of David". Authorities regard this as strong evidence that David really was a King of Israel as the Bible says.

Skeptics also claimed that the story of Sodom amid Gomorrah was nothing more than a myth and the five cities of the plain never existed. During the last 50 years, the sites of five cities have been discovered on the eastern side of the Dead Sea in the area described by the Bible. Excavations at the sites revealed that they had all been abandoned at about the same time. Archaeologists also found evidence of extensive destruction by fire. The sites were covered by a layer of ashes several feet thick (at one site it was more than seven feet thick). They found bricks that had turned red from intense heat. Evidence also indicated that the fires started on the roofs of the buildings, then burned through into the interior of the buildings. Archaeologists believe that these are the cities described in the biblical account of the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah, and the evidence supports the biblical account of what happened to them.

These are just two of the many examples that could be presented. In fact, discoveries are being made at such a rapid rate that by the time a book on them can get published it is already outdated. When it comes to history and geography the Bible is absolutely accurate!

• Fulfilled Prophecy:

There are more than 300 Old Testament prophecies concerning the Messiah which were fulfilled by Jesus. In addition, there are an incredible number of prophesies concerning other future events that have been fulfilled.

One example: A prophecy concerning the destruction of Tyre (a Phoenician stronghold on the coast of modern-day Lebanon) is found in Ezekiel 26:1-15. The city was well fortified with walls about 150 feet high and 15 feet thick. Not long after Ezekiel's prophecy was given, Nebuchadnezzar, king of Babylon, laid a 13 year siege to Tyre (586-573 B.C.). His strategy was to cut off everything coining into the city so that the people would eventually be forced to surrender or starve. By the time lie breached the walls of the city the people of Tyre had secretly relocated all their valuables and most of their citizens to a small island a half mile offshore. Although he destroyed the coastal city of Tyre, Nebuchadnezzar was unable to attack the island

city because he didn't have a navy. Consequently, he gained no spoils from his victory. Ezekiel's prophecy remained partially fulfilled until about 250 years latter when Alexander the Great approached Tyre on his way to Egypt after defeating the Persians. When the people of Tyre refused to open their gates to him, lie laid siege to the island. 1-us strategy was to build a causeway from the mainland to the island by scraping the debris from the old city into the ocean (vv.4-5, 11). The island city was protected with huge walls that reached to the edge of the sea. To scale the walls, Alexander built 160 foot high mobile siege towers that held artillery troops and a drop bridge. The artillery troops shot at the people from the towers as other soldiers slowly pushed the towers along the causeway. When they reached the wall the bridges were lowered, thereby enabling Alexander's army to penetrate the city. The siege lasted seven months. Alexander's troops killed 8,000 people and sold 30,000 into slavery. The coastal city of Tyre has never been rebuilt, and today it is a place where fishermen dry their nets. Every detail of Ezekiel's prophecy has been fulfilled.

We could write a huge book documenting other biblical prophesies like this one that were fulfilled with incredible, historically documented, detail. how could the scriptures predict future events with such accuracy? The answer is that its human authors wrote tinder the inspiration of the Holy Spirit!

• Scientific Evidence:

The Bible isn't only accurate when it comes to history and geography, but also when it comes to science. Paul Little says, "The Bible describes things as they appear to be rather than in precise scientific terms. We say that the sun rises in the east. Technically, we know that the sun does not really 'rise', but even the *Naval Almanac* uses the term 'sunrise', and we would not charge the Almanac with error. The Bible has been understood in all cultures and throughout history because of the way it describes things. It does not claim to be a textbook on science, but where it touches scientific matters, it does not give misinformation."

Many of its scientifically accurate statements were made centuries before scientists made the same discoveries.

Concerning the earth the Bible states:

It is round- Isaiah 40:22
It is suspended on nothing- Job 26:7
(These are only two of the many examples that could be sited.)

After the Scopes Trial many scoffed at the idea of creation. Naturalistic evolution was largely accepted as a scientific fact. Many theologians worked hard at reconciling evolution and the Biblical record. Now, many scientists are abandoning the theory of evolution because of lack of evidence. They talk about the evidence of design iii the universe and say that it couldn't have all just happened by chance. Modern genetic research also gave evolution a severe blow. Evolutionary scientists had constructed complex charts showing which species were closely related to each other. The charts would show how one species evolved from another and how *two* other Species both evolved from a common ancestor. DNA proved that these charts and the supposed relationships between the different species were totally wrong. Another problem faced

by evolutionists is their inability to find *any* fossils documenting one species in transition to becoming another. If one animal slowly changed into another over billions of years how come there are no fossils to record it? (There is evidence of small changes that take place within a species, but we never find evidence of one species becoming another.) In fact, the scientific evidence against evolution is now so great that most of the scientists who still believe in it are atheists who don't want to admit the possibility that Cod exists. It has more to do with philosophical beliefs than scientific evidence. (If you would like to learn more about this, read *Darwin On Trial* by Phillip Johnson. Johnson teaches law at Berkeley. Lie is a recognized expert at analyzing arguments and determining their validity. For awhile he worked on staff with the Supreme Court helping time justices analyze the reasoning in arguments presented to them. He often travels around the country debating university professors who believe in evolution.)

There is far more evidence out there if you want to take the time to study it. I have presented these examples to give you a small glimpse at what is available. Dr. Collins, a seminary professor and field archaeologist with a Ph.D. in anthropology, says that the evidence available today is more than enough to satisfy anyone genuinely searching for the truth.